

SENATOR SYAS: This will do. I think Senator Carpenter's amendment is an improvement over what the original was. I, I would rather have nothing, of course, I rather leave the committees as they are and if you're, if your resolution went to a committee you weren't on, tough luck. That's the way the-- I have some environmental bills for instance, this session, they went to Agriculture, of course, I wasn't on the committee, I'd sure love to be on it for those bills but I wasn't. To Senator Kremer I wish to say this: His original attitude was that you put one, put these people that introduce the resolution on the committee itself with full voting power. I wondered if he had thought of; for instance, he's a member of Agriculture, right? Well, name some--whatever committee you're a member of and say that on that committee, five or 10 Senators introduce resolutions that go to that committee. You would then be increasing the size of the committee 5 or 10 persons. You could end up with a committee of 18 instead of 9 out of your original thought, where you're going to give them full voting rights. I think Terry Carpenter's amendment is an improvement, however, I don't think we need the thing, period, but I'll vote for the amendment because it's sure better than giving them voting rights. I don't think I deserve voting rights on some committee that I'm not officially a member of.

PRESIDENT: Now is there any further discussion of the Carpenter amendment? Do you all understand the Carpenter amendment. Does the Clerk have a copy of that, can we read it?

CLERK: Well, as I understand what the Carpenter amendment would do is to, on the second line of Senator Kremer's proposed amendment, it would read (read).

PRESIDENT: All right. Senator Kremer.

SENATOR KREMER: Well, Mr. Chairman. Senator Syas does have a point and we should add to Senator Carpenter's amendment. I don't know if we can amend it or not. As related to the resolution involved, it would limit it, he could just set in on the committee when they're studying that; probably can't amend it at this point.

PRESIDENT: Well, stand by, let's see if--Senator Marsh, if you would withdraw your amendment, we can amend the Carpenter amendment and we'll be all set here.

SENATOR MARSH: I ask unanimous consent to withdraw my amendment.

PRESIDENT: Thank you. Now, are there objections to the withdrawal of Senator Marsh's amendment to Rule 4, Section 3, any objections? Hearing none, so ordered, that amendment then is withdrawn. So now we have the Carpenter amendment which, Senator Kremer, you can now amend if you care to. All right then you have? All right, why don't--well, I guess we have to say that for the Chair's benefit--or for the management's benefit up here, we would like to vote on the Carpenter amendment and dispatch it and then try something else here if we can and that apparently the two are not related in content here, let's go back to the Carpenter amendment, let's make sure we understand that. Senator Carpenter, do you care to close on your amendment, anything on this? Do you all understand the Carpenter amendment? Let's read that, then we'll have it, see if we have it.

CLERK: All right. What I have is, is (read)

PRESIDENT: Senator Duis, do you wish to be recognized? All right then, we'll vote on that amendment. All those in favor of the Carpenter amendment, please say aye. Those opposed say no. Carpenter amendment is adopted. All right, now. Senator Duis, back to you?